

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,600	06/26/2003	Michael D. Max	018984-0304000 2866	
909	7590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP P.O. BOX 10500			ZEC, FILIP	
MCLEAN,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3744	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		11				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/603,600	MAX, MICHAEL D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Filip Zec	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	<u>une 2003</u> .	,				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application. <ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,6,9,12,16,17,21,24-26 and 32-34 is/</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-5,7,8,10,11,13-15,18-20,22,23,27-3</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	wn from consideration.  /are rejected.  81 and 35-49 is/are objected to.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 June 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sertion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/03, 1/04 &amp; 5/04.</li> </ul>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5)  Notice of Informal F  6)  Other:	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 6, 12, 16, 17, 21, 26 and 32-34 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of copending Application No. 10/323,920 in view of German Patent Application DE 19632272 to Eisold. Application No. 10/323,920 discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, a condensation panel used for harvesting water from atmospheric moisture during those times of the diurnal cycle when relative humidity is at or near 100% and utilizes very localized cooling to optimize condensation on a surface whose materials promote the condensation and collection of the water, substantially as claimed with the exception of stating that the solar panel and the condenser form an air passageway through which the atmospheric air passes through and condenses. Eisold shows an air passageway through which the atmospheric air passes through and condenses (FIG. 2, between 11 and 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teaching of Eisold to modify the system of Application No. 10/323,920, by having an air passageway through which the

Art Unit: 3/44

atmospheric air passes through and condenses in order to provide space for enough air to pass through and yet keep the condensation from the solar panel.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

3. Claims 24 and 25 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of copending Application No. 10/323,920 in view of U.S. Patent 4,429,545 to Steinberg. Application No. 10/323,920 discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, a condensation panel used for harvesting water from atmospheric moisture, substantially as claimed with the exception of stating that the solar panels could be or form a part of a roof. Steinberg shows solar panels forming a roof to be old in the air-conditioning art (col 7, lines 18-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teaching of Steinberg to modify the system of Application No. 10/323,920, by having solar panels forming a roof in order to optimize the sun-exposure time.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

4. Claim 9 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of copending Application No. 10/323,920 in view of U.S. Patent 6,349,563 to Kinoshita. Application No. 10/323,920 discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, a condensation panel used for harvesting water from atmospheric moisture, substantially as claimed with the exception of stating the use of a hydrophilic cloth in the condensation membrane. Kinoshita shows a hydrophilic cloth in the condensation membrane to be old in the air-conditioning art (col 6, lines 51). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made from the teaching of Kinshita to modify the system of Application No. 10/323,920, by having a hydrophilic cloth in the condensation membrane in order to have the purified salt water permeating the membrane (col 6, lines 51-54).

Page 4

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13-15, 18-20, 22, 23, 27-31 and 35-49 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - U.S. Patents 5,846,296 to Krumsvik, Per Kare teaches method and device for recovering water from a humid atmosphere.
  - U.S. Patents 5,857,344 to Rosenthal, Richard A. teaches atmospheric water extractor and method.
  - U.S. Patents 6,581,849 to Zhang, Zhengyu teaches automatic semi-conductor condensate flower-watering device.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Filip Zec whose telephone number is (703) 306-3446 or (571)

272-4815. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, with the exception of every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597 or (571) 272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Filip Zec Examiner Art Unit 3744

P

RIMARY EXAMINER